



Use of the Zero Shear Viscosity as a Parameter for the High Temperature Binder Specification Parameter

Geoffrey M. Rowe, John A. D'Angelo
and Mark J. Sharrock

**3rd INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON BINDER
RHEOLOGY AND PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE**

San Antonio, Texas
September 23 and 24, 2002



Acknowledgements

- FHWA for support of this work



Objectives

- Determine procedure for estimation of ZSV
- Determine correlation with pavement properties
- Investigate how ZSV would be used in specification



Outline

- Definition and determination
 - Relaxation spectra
 - Asymptotic method
 - Errors
- Validation
- Grading of binders
- Summary




Outline

- Definition and determination
- Validation
- Grading of binders
- Summary

Simple visco-elastic model


Maxwell Element

Voigt Element



Basic Visco-Elastic Model - The Burgers' Model

Spectra determinations





Spectra determinations

- Relaxation spectra fitted

$$G''(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{G_i \omega \tau_i}{1 + (\omega \tau_i)^2}$$

$$G'(\omega) = G_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{G_i (\omega \tau_i)^2}{1 + (\omega \tau_i)^2}$$



Determination of ZSV from Asymptote


- ZSV or steady state viscosity defined as

$$\eta'_0 = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{G''}{\omega} \right) = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} (\eta')$$


$$G'' = G^* \sin \delta$$

- At asymptotic behavior the ZSV is strongly controlled by G^*


E^* and δ – ALF AC-5




Dynamic viscosity – ALF AC-5




G^* and δ – 5% SBS



Dynamic viscosity – 5% SBS




Isotherms




Dynamic viscosities

- Standard binder used in RHEA for analysis
- Since we have an asymptote we will have a good assessment of ZSV




J(t) versus time




Dynamic viscosities, 21.9 C isotherm

- Standard binder used in RHEA for analysis
- Since we have **no asymptote** we will have a some error in assessment of ZSV




J(t), 21.9 C isotherm

- At the stiffer conditions the steady state viscosity behavior is further away from the measured conditions and consequently the estimation is more prone to error
- This is not rms error of fit




Error in determination of ZSV

- At each temperature the isotherm is used to determine ZSV
- At each temperature the full master curve is used
- The full master curve is anticipated to be accurate
- The error in ZSV reduces as the value of ZSV drops
- Critical value is around 10,000 Pa.s



Error in determination of T_{crit}

- Error in numerical value of ZSV translates into less than 1°C if ZSV is less than 10,000 Pa.s






ZSV versus temperature

- For non-modified ZSV versus $G^*/\sin \delta$ forms/approximates single relationship
- This allows existing MP1 parameters to be obtained at equivalent conditions thus making use of original SHRP validation
- Polymer modified binders deviate from single relationship

ZSV versus $G^*/\sin \delta$





ZSV values corresponding to $G^*/\sin\delta$ of 1.00 kPa and 2.2 kPa


$G^*/\sin\delta$ (kPa)	ZSV (Pa-sec.)
1.0	104.8
2.2	252.7




Outline

- Definition and determination
- **Validation**
- Grading of binders
- Summary


Variation of ZSV with Temperature




Deformation versus ZSV from ALF Test Site




E^* and δ – Nevada AC20P




Dynamic viscosity – Nevada AC20P



Binder High-Temperature Grade Difference



- Is significantly effected by network structures – e.g. polymer modification





Other validation work

- Work on going by others to look at ZSV



Outline

- Definition and determination
- Validation
- **Grading of binders**
- Summary



Testing schemes

Lab	Test Frequencies used in range
A (0.1 to 10 rads/sec)	0.1, 0.12589, 0.15849, 0.19952, 0.25118, 0.31622, 0.39809, 0.50116, 0.63092, 0.79427, 1, 1.25891, 1.58487, 1.99521, 2.51178, 3.16211, 3.98083, 5.01147, 6.30896, 7.94238, 10
B (0.1 to 10 Hz/sec)	0.1, 0.1334, 0.1779, 0.2371, 0.3162, 0.4217, 0.5625, 0.7498, 1, 1.333, 1.779, 2.372, 3.163, 4.216, 5.625, 7.498, 9.997



Grade acceptance

- For the grade temperature determine the values of G^* and δ for the 21 frequencies specified.
 - (0.1, 0.12589, 0.15849, 0.19952, 0.25118, 0.31622, 0.39809, 0.50116, 0.63092, 0.79427, 1, 1.25891, 1.58487, 1.99521, 2.51178, 3.16211, 3.98083, 5.01147, 6.30896, 7.94238, 10 rads/sec)
- Save the data to a text file.
- Using appropriate software, calculate the value of ZSV, Baumgaertel and Winter (1989).
 - (IRIS, RHEA, RHEA ZSV, other software from equipment manufactures)
- Judge compliance with the following criteria.
 - TANK material ZSV ≥ 100 Pa.s
 - RTFOT, ZSV ≥ 250 Pa.s
- Report



Estimation of "True" PG High Temp Grade

- Determine ZSV at different temperatures
- Estimate ZSV from DS analysis
- Plot ZSV versus temperature and estimate "True" high PG temperature
- PROCEDURE IS CONCEPTUALLY SAME AS CURRENTLY USED



Grade bumping

- Concept based upon viscous modulus
- The effect of loading can be estimated by using traffic speed
- The effect of traffic volume is considered by linear response of strain with magnitude of loading

$$\eta_{0 \text{ required}} = G_V \times t$$

$$G_V = \frac{\eta_0}{t}$$

$$\log(ZSV) = k - n \log T$$

where:

k and n are regression parameters

T is temperature in degrees Kelvin




Grade bumping

- Increased values of ZSV would be effectively obtained by grade bumping
- The ZSV required can be determined for many different conditions
- Grade bumping would be determined as agency policy as current


Condition	ZSV _{TANK} , Pa.s	ZSV _{RTFOT} , Pa, s
Normal free flowing	100	250
Double traffic or half traffic speed	200	500
Double traffic and half traffic speed	400	1,000
Heavy traffic (say 3 times normal) and slow (say 1/3 normal)	900	2,500

Determination of equivalent temperature to satisfy grade bump requirement

- Within the high temperature area the ZSV versus temperature relationships tend to have a consistent slope
- A consistent slope allows grade bumping based on ZSV



Effect of G_v on grade bump





Outline

- Definition and determination
- Validation
- Grading of binders
- **Summary**



Summary 1 of 2

- A method for using ZSV to describe the asphalt high-temperature binder performance grade is proposed. This criterion was compared with the current Superpave specification to determine the difference in high-temperature binder grade computed using two methods.
 - Both methods produce similar results for the unmodified binders. However, significantly different results were produced for modified binders.
- Data from the rheology measurements were compared to the deformation measurements of the ALF test site at different temperatures. A similar comparison was performed for rut depth measurements performed on the pavement section in the Nevada test site.
- The correlations suggest that the ZSV is a reasonable parameter for controlling the effect of the binder with respect to permanent deformation.



Summary 2 of 2

- Equivalent specification parameters for ZSV correspond to 100 Pa.s and 250 Pa.s for TANK and RTFOT conditions respectively.
- Since the ZSV is measured at conditions close to the current specification requirement for $G^*/\sin\delta$ the existing test equipment (DSR with 25mm plate and 1mm gap) can be used.
- A test procedure is proposed that involves the determination of G^* and phase angle for 21 frequencies.
- At the values of ZSV to be obtained by the proposed test procedure the estimated error due to calculation methods is anticipated to be less than 1 C.
- The developed procedures for grade determination and acceptance involve the replacement of the $G^*/\sin\delta$ requirement in the existing specifications with a direct substitution of the ZSV requirement.
- Grade “bumping” due to traffic loading and speed effects is more fundamentally correct using a ZSV approach.
- The amount of grade bump required is directly related to traffic flow and speed compared to the agencies’ definition of free-flow conditions.
- **Using ZSV, grade bumps could involve adjustments of 2 or 3 grades to obtain equivalent binders that are in routine use today with typical SHRP+ specifications.**